PUBLISHING ETHICS POLICY

The policies and guidelines provided in this document are in place to protect the quality and integrity of all forms of scholarly practice and research, as well as the reputations of the publications produced by SAE International.

RESPONSIBILITIES

Author Responsibilities

All authors are expected to adhere to the following guidelines when submitting to an SAE International journal:

- The article represents the authors' own original work.
- The article is not being considered or reviewed by any other publication in any language.
- The article has not been published elsewhere in the same or a similar form. This includes publication of an article in different languages and the reuse of substantial portions of articles without acknowledgement of prior publication.
- All authors are aware of and have consented to the submission and declared any potential conflict of interest—be it professional or financial.
- All authors fulfill the requirements for authorship (see Authorship below).
- The article follows the Institutional Review Board's (IRB's) standards of practice for protocols of research, including the use of human participants (http://www.american.edu/irb/). All human subjects research must receive approval from the IRB.
- Authors must cite all relevant publications that have been used in or that influenced the work, including their own previously published articles.
- Information obtained privately, such as in conversation, correspondence, or discussion, should only be used and reported with written permission from the source and acknowledged as a personal communication.
- The article contains no libelous, defamatory, or unlawful statements.
- If asked to provide a list of suggested reviewers, authors must provide the correct details for suitable reviewers with the appropriate experience to review, ensuring that the suggested reviewers do not have a conflict of interest.

Plagiarism

Plagiarism is the unauthorized and/or unacknowledged use or imitation of works, language, and ideas of another. Generally, in the context of article publication, plagiarism occurs when one researcher/author uses the words, language, tables/figures, or ideas of another researcher/author without making it clear within the narrative or referencing of the article that this has occurred, that is, passing off a piece of research or text as his or her own.

In addition, authors should also be aware that self-plagiarism (redundant publication) is not allowed. This may occur when an author presents in an article items that he or she has previously published in his or her other works and makes no reference to those other works.

Plagiarism can be committed through the literal copying of one's own or other authors' work without making proper acknowledgement but also through copying substantial elements of a work without acknowledging the source. Plagiarism may also take place through paraphrasing of one's own or another's work without acknowledgement.

Use of or paraphrasing "substantial" amounts of work may mean making a decision about the quality or importance of what is being used, so it is always best to reference anything, however small or seemingly insignificant, that comes from the work of another person or that you have previously published yourself.

Authors should ensure that they clearly cite, reference, and acknowledge all instances where they have used or been influenced by the work of others, including their own previously published articles and research material. Self-plagiarism, especially where the copyright of the published article has been assigned to a publisher, learned society or other third party, is as serious as plagiarism of others' work and must be avoided. All sources must be disclosed. Authors should be aware that SAE International may randomly check submissions to its journals to verify their originality. Submissions may be compared against the Web of Science and/or checked using automated software packages. Authors should not consider this in any way an indication of suspicion of guilt but, rather, a standard, random procedure implemented to uphold the integrity of our journals.

Multiple or Redundant Publication

Redundant publication is the multiple publication or submission of the same research to different journals by an author. This includes publication of an article in different languages. It includes the reuse of substantial portions of articles without acknowledgement of prior publication.

Multiple submission or multiple publication of research is unethical. It wastes the time of the editors and reviewers that form research communities and contribute to the publication of scholarly journals. Authors must inform the editors of journals to which they submit their work about any related papers by any of the authors of the article that have been submitted to the same or other journals.

Authors should note that the submission of substantially similar articles to multiple journals, where perhaps some wording has been changed, but the outcome of the paper is substantially the same, also counts as redundant publication.

The practice of fragmenting and/or separating research findings in order to increase the number of possible articles available for publication is discouraged in the strongest possible terms.

Any article found to have been submitted to, or under consideration by, more than one journal will be immediately rejected. Sanctions, such as a ban from submitting further publications for a specified period, may also be considered.

Acknowledgement of Sources

Authors should ensure that they clearly cite, reference, and acknowledge all instances where they have used or have been influenced by the work of others, including their own previously published articles and research material. If passages of text are copied word for word, the source must be given and the text must be placed within quotation marks. If the original text is paraphrased or reproduced with minor alterations, this must be made clear and the source given. It is unacceptable to reproduce extensive passages of text without permission from the author(s) and the copyright holder.

Information obtained in conversation, correspondence, or discussion should only be used and reported with written permission from the source and should be acknowledged as a personal communication.

Permissions

If an article reproduces research material, tables, images, or quotations of a substantial nature, the author must seek permission from the copyright holder to use that material and fully acknowledge the owner and/or copyright owner.

Authorship

Authorship should be limited to those who have made a significant contribution to the work reported and who share responsibility and accountability for the results.

The guidelines from the International Committee of Medical Journal Editors (ICMJE), which have been adopted by countless publishers and learned societies, state that authorship should be limited to those who have fulfilled all of the following criteria:

- Substantial contributions to conception and design, or acquisition of data, or analysis and interpretation of data
- Drafting the article or revising it critically for important intellectual content
- Final approval of the version to be published
- Agreement to be accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved

If others participated in substantial aspects of the research but do not meet the criteria for authorship, they should be listed in an acknowledgements section.

Acquisition of funding, collection of data, or general supervision of the research group alone does not constitute authorship. Those who did not make a meaningful contribution should not be included as contributing authors for the sake of prestige or their own referencing quota.

For studies conducted by large, multi-centered groups, the group should identify the individuals who accept direct responsibility for the manuscript. These individuals should fully meet the criteria for authorship.

Knowing, intentional, or reckless violation of this policy are considered research misconduct.

Reporting Conflicts of Interest

Articles should be submitted with statements or supporting documents of conflicts of interest, declaring:

- Authors' conflicts of interest
- Sources of support for the work, including sponsor names, along with explanations of the role of those sources, if any, in study design; collection, analysis, and interpretation of data; writing of the article; the decision to submit the article for publication; or a statement declaring that the supporting source had no such involvement
- Whether the authors had access to the study data, with an explanation of the nature and extent of access, including whether access is ongoing

To support the above statements, editors may request that authors of a study sponsored by a funder with a proprietary or financial interest in the outcome sign a statement, such as "I had full access to all of the data in this study, and I take complete responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis."

Dishonesty and Libel

Authors must use their best endeavors to ensure the material that they submit contains no fictitious data, reference omissions, or false statements.

Authors should avoid the use of personal, critical, or disparaging remarks and accusations against fellow researchers, colleagues, or other individuals. Critical analysis of the work of other researchers may be justified, but defamatory or actionable material must not be included.

Sanctions

It is a condition of submission to the journal that all authors of any article found, following due process, to breach good practice accept responsibility for this breach, which will be subject to sanction at the Publisher's and Editor's absolute discretion. These sanctions may include, among others, the retraction of a published article, publication of a note of correction or apology, banning of future submissions by any author for a specified period, and/or notification of the Head of the authors' department or organization.

Peer Reviewer Responsibilities

Reviewers should preview a manuscript that they have been asked to review to see if they have conflicts of interest that could complicate their review. Reviewers must disclose to editors any conflicts of interest that could bias their

opinions of the manuscript and should recuse themselves from reviewing specific manuscripts if the potential for bias exists. Reviewers must not use knowledge of the work they are reviewing before its publication to further their own interests.

Editor and Journal Staff Responsibilities

Editors who make final decisions about manuscripts should recuse themselves from editorial decisions if they have conflicts of interest or relationships that pose potential conflicts related to articles under consideration. Other editorial staff members who participate in editorial decisions must provide editors with a current description of their financial interests or other conflicts (as they might relate to editorial judgments) and recuse themselves from any decisions in which a conflict of interest exists. Editorial staff must not use information gained through working with manuscripts for private gain. Editors should publish regular disclosure statements about potential conflicts of interest related to the commitments of journal staff. Guest editors should follow these same procedures.

COMMITTEE ON PUBLICATION ETHICS

SAE International supports the ethical principles set out by the Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE), available at http://publicationethics.org/about.